## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R09-OAR-2011-0356; FRL-9479-3]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, Joaquin

Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District and Imperial County

Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is finalizing approval of revisions to the San
Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD)
and Imperial County Air Pollution Control District (ICAPCD)
portions of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP).

These revisions were proposed in the Federal Register on June 3,
2011 and concern volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from
Motor Vehicle Assembly, Metal Parts and Products, Plastic Parts
and Products and Pleasure Crafts, Aerospace Operations and
Automotive Refinishing Operations. We are approving local rules
that regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as
amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This rule is effective on [Insert date 30 days from the date of publication in the Federal Register].

ADDRESSES: EPA has established docket number EPA-R09-OAR-2011-0356 for this action. Generally, documents in the docket for

this action are available electronically at

http://www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at EPA Region IX, 75
Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California. While all documents
in the docket are listed at http://www.regulations.gov, some
information may be publicly available only at the hard copy
location (e.g., copyrighted material, large maps, multi-volume
reports), and some may not be available in either location (e.g.,
confidential business information (CBI)). To inspect the hard
copy materials, please schedule an appointment during normal
business hours with the contact listed in the FOR FURTHER
INFORMATION CONTACT section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Adrianne Borgia, EPA Region IX, (415) 972-3576, borgia.adrianne@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document, "we," "us" and "our" refer to EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. Proposed Action
- II. Public Comments and EPA Responses
- III. EPA Action
- IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

#### I. Proposed Action

On June 3, 2011 (FR 32113), EPA proposed to approve the following rules into the California SIP.

Local Rule Agency #	Rule Title	Adopted	Submitted
------------------------	------------	---------	-----------

Local Agency	Rule #	Rule Title	Adopted	Submitted
SJVUAPCD	4602	Motor Vehicle Assembly	9/17/09	5/17/10
		Coatings		
SJVUAPCD	4603	Surface Coating of Metal	9/17/09	5/17/10
		Parts and Products,		
		Plastic Parts and		
		Products and Pleasure		
		Crafts		
ICAPCD	425	Aerospace Coating Operations	2/23/10	7/20/10
ICAPCD	427	Automotive Refinishing Operations	2/23/10	7/20/10

We proposed to approve these rules because we determined that they complied with the relevant CAA requirements. Our proposed action contains more information on the rules and our evaluation.

# II. Public Comments and EPA Responses

EPA's proposed action provided a 30-day public comment

period. During this period, we received no comments.

#### III. EPA Action

No comments were submitted that change our assessment that the submitted rules comply with the relevant CAA requirements. Therefore, as authorized in section  $110\,(k)\,(3)$  of the Act, EPA is fully approving these rules into the California SIP.

## IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the Clean Air Act, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve State choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this action merely approves State law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by State law. For that reason, this action:

- is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);

- is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
- does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-4);
- does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the

  National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15

  U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements

  would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and
- does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address disproportionate human health or environmental effects with practical, appropriate, and legally permissible methods under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this rule does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the State, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the Federal Register. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the Federal Register. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Environmental protection, Air pollution control,
Incorporation by reference, Reporting and recordkeeping
requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: September 28, 2011 Keith Takata,
Acting Regional Administrator,
Region IX.

Part 52, Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

## PART 52-[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 52 continues to read as follows:

**AUTHORITY:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 <u>et seq.</u>

Subpart F - California

2. Section 52.220, is amended by adding paragraphs (c) (379) (i) (C)  $(\underline{3})$  and  $(\underline{4})$  and (c) (381) (i) (A)  $(\underline{3})$  and  $(\underline{4})$  to read as follows:

# §52.220 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

- (C) \* \* \*
- (379) \* \* \*
- (i) \* \* \*
- (C) \* \* \*
- (3) Rule 4602, "Motor Vehicle Assembly Coatings," amended on September 17, 2009
- $(\underline{4})$  Rule 4603, "Surface Coating of Metal Parts and Products, Plastic Parts and Products and Pleasure Crafts," amended on September 17, 2009.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

- (381) \* \* \*
- (i) \* \* \*
- (A) \* \* \*
- (3) Rule 425, "Aerospace Coating Operations," revised February 23, 2010.
- $(\underline{4})$  Rule 427, "Automotive Refinishing Operations," revised February 23, 2010.

[FR Doc. 2011-28251 Filed 10/31/2011 at 8:45 am; Publication

Date: 11/01/2011]